Analysis of Multiclass Veterinary Drugs in Baby Food by Ultra Fast Chromatography with High Performance Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometry

Charles Yang,¹ Dipankar Ghosh,¹ Mary Blackburn,¹ Jamie Humphries² ¹Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA; ²Thermo Fisher Scientific, Austin, TX, USA





Introduction

The quantification of different multi-class veterinary drug residues (albendazole, chlorotetracycline, danoflozacin, doxycycline, enrofloxacin, erythromycin, fenbendazole, ivermectin, oxfendazole, oxolinic acid, oxytetracycline, sarafloxacin, sulfachloropyridazine, sulfadiazine, sulfadimethoxine, sulfaquinoxaline, tetracycline, thiabendazole, tilmicosin, trimethoprim, and tylosin) in baby food usually involves sample preparation with either solid phase extraction or liquid-liquid extraction, which requires substantial time in both sample preparation and analytical run time. A new method, utilizing ultra fast chromatography, a high performance triple quadrupole mass spectrometer and a quick analysis software is described in this poster. The advantages to this approach are that very little sample cleanup is necessary prior to injection and LC/MS run times are short.

Methods

Sample Preparation

A simple "dilute and shoot" method, adjusted from the original method described by Mol et al. (2008)¹, was used. Samples of baby food (milk and pork) were extracted the following way: 26.99g of pork was ground and diluted with 100mL of buffer (90%,10%, 2% Acetonitrile, Water, Formic Acid (v/v)). The sample was shaken vigorously and put into a sonication bath for two hours. The sonciation bath warmed up the sample, causing the meat particles to turn white. After sonication, the mixture was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 10,000rpm, and the supernatant was then pipetted into 50mL centrifuge tube. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.4µm nylon filter to remove any particles before being transferred to HPLC vials for injection. Milk preparation was extracted with same buffer as mentioned above and filtered through a 0.4µm filter before being transferred to HPLC vials for injection. A calibration solution was made by spiking the multiclass vet drugs into both the neat solution and the matrices mentioned above with a calibration curve range from 10ppm -0.5ppt depending on the compound starting solution. A portion of the matrices was tested for possible contamination of veterinary drugs.

Liquid Chromatography Conditions

Thermo Scientific™ Dionex™ UltiMate 3000 HPLC Stack: Pump: HPG 3400RS, Column Heater: TCC3000, Autosampler: OAS-3X00TXRS

Column: Thermo Scientific[™] Accucore[™] C18 column (50 x 2.1mm, 2.6µ)

Mobile phase: A: 0.1% Formic Acid in Water, B:0.1% Formic Acid in Methanol

Column Temperature: 45 °C

Injection volume: 5uL

HPLC Gradient:

Time	Flow Rate	%A	%В
	(ml/min)		
0.0	0.6	100	0
2.0	0.6	100	0
2.1	0.6	60	40
9.0	0.6	35	65
9.5	0.6	0	100
12.0	0.6	0	100
12.1	0.6	100	0

Mass Spectrometry Conditions:

Thermo Scientific™ TSQ Quantiva™ MS

Spray Voltage: 3kV

Aux Gas: 10	Capillary Temperature: 350 °C
Sheath Gas: 55	HESI III Temperature: 450 °C
Sweep Gas: 2	Cycle Time: 0.5
CID Gas: 1.5	Q1, Q3 Resolution (FWHM): 0.7
Softwara: Thorma SaiantifiaM	Traco Finder M software

Software: Thermo Scientific[™] TraceFinder[™] software

Data Analysis

To enable rapid data review and analysis, a new software was used. TraceFinder software has a new simplified interface for data review (Figure 1, Analysis View). The flagging of samples and compounds helps analysts quickly determine what is a positive hit or even why there is an issue with the sample or compound.

TABLE 1. Transitions that were used for this method. One transition was used for quantitation and one was used for confirmation.

	Precursor (m/		Collision Energy	
Compound	z) `	Product (m/z)	(V)	RF-Lens (V)
albendazole	265.93	234.107	19	94
albendazole	265.93	159.162	38	94
chlorotetracycline	479.25	462.161	18	89
chlorotetracycline	479.25	444.085	22	89
danofloxacin	358.25	340.179	22	95
danofloxacin	358.25	82.238	43	95
danofloxacin	358.25	314.214	17	95
danofloxacin	358.25	283.236	22	95
doxycycline	445.25	428.158	18	91
doxycycline	445.25	341.005	19	91
doxycycline	445.25	267.18	39	91
enrofloxacin	360.25	316.214	19	97
enrofloxacin	360.25	245.189	26	97
erythromycin	734.6	576.427	18	101
erythromycin	734.6	158.145	30	101
fenbendazole	300	268.093	20	97
fenbendazole	300	159.169	36	97
fenbendazole	300	131.162	51	97
ivermectin	897.65	183.119	55	227
ivermectin	897.65	240.157	59	227
ivermectin	897.65	139.164	55	227
oxfendazole	315.95	159.129	37	98
oxfendazole	315.95	191.156	25	98
oxfendazole	315.95	284.012	18	98
oxolinic acid	261.9	244.071	20	78
oxolinic acid	261.9	160.09	43	78
oxytetracycline	461.2	426.218	21	84
oxytetracycline	461.2	443.713	19	84
oxytetracycline	461.2	201.139	45	84
sarafloxacin	386.2	342.149	22	99
sarafloxacin	386.2	299.187	30	99
sarafloxacin	386.2	368.147	26	99
sulfachloropyridazine	284.85	92.209	31	76
sulfachloropyridazine	284.85	156.156	17	76
sulfachloropyridazine	284.85	108.219	26	76
sulfadiazine	250.9	156.111	17	72
sulfadiazine	250.9	92.205	29	72
sulfadiazine	250.9	108.151	26	72
sulfamethazine	278.95	186.156	16	91
sulfamethazine	278.95	92.215	31	91
sulfamethazine	278.95	124.254	23	91
sulfaquinoxaline	301.3	92.204	34	91
sulfaquinoxaline	301.3	108.17	28	91
sulfaquinoxaline	301.3	156.082	18	91
tetracycline	445.25	410.163	21	86
tetracycline	445.25	427.353	11	86
tetracycline	445.25	428.05	18	86
thiabendazole	201.9	131.196	36	95
thiabendazole	201.9	65.169	50	95
thiabendazole	201.9	175.096	27	95
tilmicosin	869.7	174.244	45	215
tilmicosin	869.7	88.282	58	215
tilmicosin	869.7	132.215	47	215
tilmicosin	869.7	116.314	52	215
trimethoprim	291	230.183	23	104
trimethoprim	291	123.227	25	104
trimethoprim	291	110.186	34	104
tylosin	916.65	174.133	39	159
tylosin	916.65	101.163	45	159
tylosin	916 65	116 173	54	159

Results

Detection limits will vary depending on the compound and matrix. Two calibration curves were generated separately. Analysis of the two curves will show which compounds will perform better in which matrix due to sample prep and HPLC conditions. Figure 2 shows two calibration curves between the milk and pork matrices for one of the compounds being analyzed. Figures 3 also shows another compound in both matrices at the LOQ level. Analysis of the data, once completed, is the generation of the report. TraceFinder has the ability to generate customizable reporting "on the fly" after processing of the sample (Figure 4). The limit of detection and quantitation list shown in Table 3 which these compounds meet or beat the current MRLs.

FIGURE 1. Flags on both samples and compounds give the analyst quick information about issues with samples and with compounds.



FIGURE 2. Albendazole compared in milk (left panel) and meat (right panel) matrix



FIGURE 3. Trimethoprim in pork (top panel) and milk (lower panel) matrix.





FIGURE 4. Customizable reporting in TraceFinder software helps analyst quickly make new reports "on the fly" immediately after processing.

Thermo TraceFinder EFS	S LC	-						100		an 17	
le Reporting Tools	Help								Real time :	status I User: cha	rles.yang Log off I Help I
) 📸 🖬 🖓 💷 🔗	101	유 🕸 📶 🚽 💵								Waiting for	Device Initialization
											,
nalysis	- a	Reporting (Preview	v) - VetDrugs Milk s	et 052813							
Batch View		Report Template								Coper	n 📑 New 📑 Sele
Data Review		🔛 Quantitation Rep	ort								
eport View		Report Generation R	ules								
		Sheet Name	Include Condition	ons							
Local Method		Sheet1	Specific	Samples: 1,2,3,4,5							Edit
eporting (Preview)	5										
	-										(11)
Keport Designer - C	losed	Rage Lawout							-		
TT (1771			n 11 - 1								
Table Field Repeat	Refresh	Sample Peak	Laula L	Ibration Chart	Picture						
Tables Re	event	TIC Chromato	gram Plot c	Charls	Illustrations						
Samples 1	D3	-									
bik09	1		c	Que	ntitution Report		G	н		у к	L M
ttd0_01	2 3 Lob No	me: Default Lab	oratory			D.1 c	thod Name:		VetOruga Milk a	et 052813 Vetc	from the
50_0b19	4 tostr. N	ame: Thermo Scie	intific Instrument						steroid		
std7_01	6 Batch P	ame: Name: VetDrugs M	ilk set 052813			Cal	h Filo:		Vetbrugs Milk s	at 052813	
std7_03	7 8 Pos	Sample ID	File Nam	Level	Sample Name			Acquisition t	Date C	omment	
std65_01	9 CAINA	01:15 CNK1_01:15	bik09	N/A				5/27/2015 17.04			
atd65_03	11 . NL 7	.2180									
std6_01	12										
std6_02	14 100	1						10.25			
\$ std55_01	16 . 80-							L .			
std55_02	17 70-										
std55_03	19 5 00-								0.40		
std5_02	20 2 40-							_	1 10.76		
std5_03	22 20-								11.00 11.30	11.77	
atd45_01	23 10-	0.00 0.41 0.11 3.45	3.77 4.05 4.00 5	10 5,42 5,93 4	3.67 6.63 7.41	0.00 0.0	53 8.00 0.40 1	9.67		12.20	
\$ \$1445_03	2.16	7	4 6		7 PITOM	in)	•	10		10	13
stel4_01	26							Average BE/	Injected	Calcula	red
stel4_02	28 Compo	und Name	RT	Glon	Response ab	ove avg.	Curve Type	sponse Ratio	Conc U	nits Conc	Units
stda_03	20 trimeth	ioprim	3.14	230.18	1,346 54	tow	Quadratic	0.00	-0.55 pr	ot -0.55	ppt
atd3_02	31 danoffe	xacin	3.27	340.18	71,978 64	tow	Quadratic	0.00	76.07 p	pt 76.07	ρρτ
			10.72.00								
std3_03	32 enrofic	Revenue and Rev	3.78	316.21	8,879 54	haw	Quadratic	0.00	-26.06 p	pt -26.06	ppt

Table 3. LOD and LOQ for the compounds of interest (ppt).

Milk							
Compound	Ave. Area	LOD (ppt)	%RSD	Ave. Area	LOQ (ppt)		
Albendazole	36721.67	2.47	12.64	110662.67	12.34		
oxfendazole	3076.67	2.44	10.86	22312.33	12.20		
sulfadiazine	3637.67	23.81	17.5	18117.00	119.05		
sulfamethazine	6365.00	12.62	10.62	14596.33	25.24		
sulfaquinoxaline	5130.00	5.98	7.29	10404.00	11.97		
thiabendazole	33981.67	24.19	3.48	80590.00	48.37		
tilmicosin	3289.33	121.79	1.05	7373.33	243.57		
trimethoprim	3735.00	11.91	20.91	7929.00	23.81		
tylosin	4750.00	11.87	12.79	9069.33	23.74		

Pork								
Compound	Ave. Area	LOD (ppt)	%RSD	Ave. Area	LOQ (ppt)			
Albendazole	33629.00	2.47	6.01	82256.33	12.34			
chlorotetracycline	6972.00	147.62	10.07	10876.00	295.24			
erythromycin	1819.33	24.05	7.23	41343.67	120.24			
fenbendazole	156882.00	11.97	6.24	265338.67	23.95			
oxfendazole	21823.00	12.20	3.12	41108.00	24.41			
sarafloxacin	8448.33	119.64	3.21	14565.33	239.29			
sulfadiazine	2465.00	23.81	5.43	15548.00	119.05			
sulfamethazine	24809.33	25.24	5.41	96852.00	126.19			
sulfaquinoxaline	9040.00	11.97	11.19	45163.00	59.82			
thiabendazole	46751.33	24.19	15	85171.67	48.37			
trimethoprim	3863.33	11.91	9.13	7221.00	23.81			
tylosin	4113.00	11.87	3.71	7567.67	23.74			

Conclusion

- The Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation determined in the exp show that with TSQ Quantiva MS we can achieve a lowered detection a small amount of sample injection.
- With the higher sensitivity of TSQ Quantiva MS, we can inject much le as proposed in this poster
- The new approach easily surpasses the current regulated MRLs.
- The method described here to analyze multiclass veterinary drugs shc
 - A simple extraction method has no issues with lower end detect
 - No need to inject larger volumes because of the sensitivity of th Quantiva MS
- No contamination of veterinary drugs was noticed in either of the matr for this experiment.
- The ability of TraceFinder software to give a user simplified views for a and reporting helps reduce the bottleneck in all routine and non-routin

References

 Mol, H.G.J., Plaza-Bolanos, P., Zomer, P., de Rijk, T.C., Stolker, A.A.M Mulder, P.P.J. (2008). Toward a generic extraction method for simultar determination of pesticides, mycotoxins, plant toxins, and veterinary d feed and food matrixes. Analytical Chemistry, 80, 9450-9459.

www.thermoscientific.com

©2013 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved. ISO is a trademark of the International Standards Organization. All other trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Specifications, terms and pricing are subject to change. Not all products are available in all countries. Please consult your local sales representative for details.

Africa-Other +27 11 570 1840 Australia +61 3 9757 4300 Austria +43 1 333 50 34 0 Belgium +32 53 73 42 41 Canada +1 800 530 8447 China +86 10 8419 3588 Denmark +45 70 23 62 60

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Europe-Other} & +43 \ 1 \ 333 \ 50 \ 34 \ 0 \\ \textbf{Finland/Norway/Sweden} \\ & +46 \ 8 \ 556 \ 468 \ 00 \\ \textbf{France} & +33 \ 1 \ 60 \ 92 \ 48 \ 00 \\ \textbf{Germany} & +49 \ 6103 \ 408 \ 1014 \\ \textbf{India} & +91 \ 22 \ 6742 \ 9434 \\ \textbf{Italy} & +39 \ 02 \ 950 \ 591 \end{array}$

Japan +81 45 453 9100 Latin America +1 561 688 8700 Middle East +43 1 333 50 34 0 Netherlands +31 76 579 55 55 New Zealand +64 9 980 6700 Russia/CIS +43 1 333 50 34 0 South Africa +27 11 570 1840



Spain +34 914 845 965 **Switzerland** +41 61 716 77 00 **UK** +44 1442 233555 **USA** +1 800 532 4752



ASMS13_W369_CYang_E 06/13S